

Agenda Date: 5/12/04 Agenda Item: IV C-6

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102 www.bpu.state.ni.us

		TELECOMMUNICATIONS
N THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC. AND TELESPIRE, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AN INTERCONNECTION)	ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT
AGREEMENT UNDER SECTION 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996)	DOCKET NO. TO03070522

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

BY THE BOARD1:

By letter dated April 23, 2003, Verizon New Jersey Inc. ("Verizon"), a New Jersey corporation and Telespire, Inc. ("Telespire") (individually, "a Party", and jointly, "the Parties"), pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, P.L. 104-104, 110 <u>Stat.</u> 56 (codified in scattered sections of 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §151 <u>et seq.</u>) ("the Act"), submitted to the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") a joint application ("Application") for approval of a certain negotiated interconnection agreement dated April 11, 2003 ("the Agreement").

The Agreement sets forth the terms, conditions, and prices under which Verizon will offer and provide access to unbundled network elements, ancillary services, and wholesale telecommunications services available for resale to Telespire. The Agreement is in effect until April 10, 2005 and thereafter, as noted in the Agreement, continues in full force and effect unless terminated as provided in the Agreement.

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(a)(1), an incumbent LEC may negotiate and enter into a binding interconnection agreement with a carrier requesting interconnection, service, or network elements. In addition, 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(e)(1) requires approval by the Board of any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration, and further requires the Board to approve or reject the Agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies. The Act provides that the Board may reject a negotiated agreement or any portion thereof only if it finds that: (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a Party to the agreement; or (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion thereof is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. [47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(e)(2)(A)].

_

¹ Commissioner Frederick F. Butler did not participate in the deliberation or the vote on this matter.

The Board's review of the Agreement and the record in this matter indicate that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, and that the Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not Parties to the Agreement. Therefore, the Board FINDS that the Agreement meets the standards set forth in the Act, and HEREBY APPROVES the Agreement as presented by the Parties. This approval should not be construed as preapproval of any future petitions for rate recovery of costs incurred pursuant to the Agreement, nor shall the Board be bound by any provisions within the Agreement regarding the confidentiality of information.

The Board notes that amendments or modifications to Board-approved interconnection agreements are subject to Board review and approval. No agreement shall be read, nor does the Board believe the Parties to the Agreement intend that it be read, to limit the authority of the Board under Section 252(e) of the Act to review interconnection agreements. Accordingly, until and unless otherwise provided by the Board, subsequent amendments or modifications to the Agreement approved herein shall be subject to review and approval by the Board.

rigidomonic approved nerom ona	ii be easjeet to review ar	ia approvar by the Beard.
DATED: 5/12/04		BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES BY:
	<u>signed</u> JEANNE M. FOX PRESIDENT	
		signed CAROL J. MURPHY COMMISSIONER
signed CONNIE O. HUGHES COMMISSIONER		signed JACK ALTER COMMISSIONER
ATTEST:		
signed		
KRISTI IZZO SECRETARY		